Evaluating the systematic position of *Ehretia asperula* Zoll. & Moritzi based on ITS1, *matK* and *trnL-trnF* DNA sequences
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Abstract:

*Ehretia asperula*, popular as a medicinal herb that has potential on cancer treatment, has a limited research about its phylogeny relationships. With many innovative advancements in molecular biology, it is more easy and reliable to identify the taxonomic position of a species by molecular markers- DNA barcode. In this study, we used three different markers, ITS1, *trnL-trnF*, and *matK*, to evaluate *E. asperula*'s systematic position. Based on ITS1 sequence, *E. asperula* belongs to clade *Ehretia I* and is a close relative of *E. resinosa*. Moreover, ITS1 was suggested to be use as a suitable DNA barcode in order to identify *E. asperula*.
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Introduction

*Ehretia asperula* Zoll. & Moritzi is an accepted name of a species from *Ehretia* genus (*Ehretiaceae* family). It was first described by Zollinger and Moritzi in mid 1840s [1]. In Vietnam, it is present mainly on the mountainous area of the North [2]. Historically, *E. asperula* was used in ethnomedicine and folklore. The ethnic minorities have been using *E. asperula* for treatments of various ailments, especially for liver diseases, such as hepatitis, liver cirrhosis and even liver cancer [2]. Besides, *E. asperula* appears to be effective in prevention of acne, jaundice, hypertension, and diabetes [2]. A research recently revealed the pharmacological potential of *E. asperula* in cancer treatment [3].

Although many patients who applied folklore procedure using *E. asperula* in combination with other medicinal herbs or with modern treatments recovered from cancer, and prolonged their life [3], no clinical trial has been published using *E. asperula* in cancer therapy. Likewise, the underlying mechanism responsible for its inhibitory effect on cancer is still unclear. Yet there is no study of *E. asperula* molecular and its phylogenetic relationship has not been reported.

The *Ehretia* genus has nearly 50 species distributed mainly in high altitude areas of Asia, Africa, and Australia [1]. In Vietnam, they represent seven species [3], in which, *E. asperula* shares many characteristics in common with other *Ehretia* species. *E. asperula* is a climbing shrub, having bristle-covered reddish to greyish brown branches. Leaves are blade lanceolate in which the base is narrowly rounded and 5-7 mm in length, and have 7 nerves on each side. Inflorescences are terminal and lateral on short branches, and 5-10 cm in length, where individual flower’s base is 2-4 mm long. Flowers have separated five calyx-lobes and white petals. Fruits are 1 cm-long globose with 3 separate parts. Seeds have pink skin. *E. asperula* produces flowers from March to May and bears fruits from August to December [2].

The taxonomic relationship of *Ehretia* species was clarified by studies based on molecular data. On the basis of the ITS1 information, *Ehretia* was a sister group of *Bourreria* (*Ehretiaceae* family) and is composed of three major clades. Among them, *Ehretia III* has a closer relationship with *Ehretia II* compared to *Ehretia I* [4]. Additionally, the ITS1 secondary structure was applied to build phylogenetic trees at higher taxonomic levels, and seems to be good at giving a well-resolved tree. Recently, Gottschling, et al. [5] elucidated the phylogeny relationship of Boraginales by using concatenated ITS nuclear and plastid *rps16 trnL-trnF, trnS-trnG* sequences. An additional clade
Ehretia IV, in which *E. microphylla* is a representative, was inferred from extent analysis.

In this study, ITS1, *trn*L-*trn*F, and *matK* regions were used to investigate the systematic position of *E. asperula*. As inferred from the data, *E. asperula* belongs to *Ehretia* I and is a close relative of *E. resinosa*. Moreover, we contributed three sequences to DNA barcode database of *Ehretia* genus, and suggested ITS1 as an appropriate DNA barcode.

### Materials and methods

Three leaf specimens of *E. asperula* were collected at three different locations in the Hoa Binh province by a colleague at Vietnam national museum of nature, and were preserved in silica gel. Genomic DNA was isolated using CTAB (Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) extraction protocol [6] from approximately 100 g of leaf tissue. Three DNA fragments were amplified using Thermo scientific phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase with universal primer pairs (Table 1). PCR products were purified with Thermofisher scientific PCR clean-up purification kit. These DNA were sequenced by Applied biosystems 3500 genetic analyzer system using BigDye™ terminator v3.1 cycle sequencing kit. Additionally, GenBank accessions were downloaded to complete dataset for molecular investigation comprising of 37 sequences of *Ehretia* species, and three sequences of outgroup representatives (Table 2). Sequences were aligned automatically by BioEdit v7.1.9 [7]. Phylogenetic analysis was performed by PAUP*4.0a152 [8]. Likelihood trees were built using heuristic search. Bootstrap analyses (criterion=parsimony, with full heuristic search: PBS; criterion=distance, with neighbor-joining search and maximum likelihood setting: DBS) were estimated based on 1,000 replicates, in which each was performed with 100 random-addition-sequence replicates, and the starting tree obtained by neighbor-joining.

### Results

All three DNA regions (ITS, *trn*L-*trn*F, and *matK*) were amplified by using the universal primer pairs (Table 1), and the products obtained were 848 bp, 950 bp, and 811 bp in length, respectively (Fig. 1). ITS is a nuclear sequence from 3’ end of 18S to 5’ end of 26S. However, we used a portion of ITS-ITS1 for phylogenetic calculation in this study. While *trn*L-*trn*F is the intergenic region between two coding regions, *matK* spans from codon 171 to codon 440 of the open reading frame. The nucleotide sequences of each DNA region of the
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**Table 1. List of primer pairs used in the study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer names</th>
<th>DNA regions</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5’ →3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS-AB-101</td>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>ACG AAT TCA TGG TCC GGT GAA GTG TTC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS-AB-102</td>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>TAG AAT TCC CCG GTT CGC TCG CCG TTA C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrnL-F</td>
<td><em>trn</em>L-<em>trn</em>F</td>
<td>ATT TGA ACT GGT GAC AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrnL-C</td>
<td><em>trn</em>L-<em>trn</em>F</td>
<td>CGA AAT CGG TAG ACG CTA CG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MatK-F1A</td>
<td><em>matK</em></td>
<td>ACY GTA TTT TAT GTT TAC GAC G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MatK-R1A</td>
<td><em>matK</em></td>
<td>TCC ATH TDG AAA TCT TGG TTC A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Species lists with 1D number of DNA sequences on GenBank.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ITS1</th>
<th><em>trn</em>L-<em>trn</em>F</th>
<th><em>matK</em></th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ITS1</th>
<th><em>trn</em>L-<em>trn</em>F</th>
<th><em>matK</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E. asperula</em></td>
<td>KY320205</td>
<td>KY320206</td>
<td>KY320207</td>
<td><em>E. obtusifolia</em></td>
<td>KY31401.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. acuminata</em></td>
<td>AY376167.1</td>
<td>AF385790.2</td>
<td>AF385802.2</td>
<td><em>E. macrophylla</em></td>
<td>KF673271.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. amoena</em></td>
<td>JX51801.1</td>
<td>E. microphylla</td>
<td>KF158024.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. anacua</em></td>
<td>DQ197228.1</td>
<td>E. monopryrena</td>
<td>AF385792.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. aquatica</em></td>
<td>EU599659.1</td>
<td>E. resinosa</td>
<td>AF385616.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cortesia</em></td>
<td>KY63159.1</td>
<td>AY637329.1</td>
<td>E. ribea</td>
<td>JX518041.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coerulea</em></td>
<td>KF673249.1</td>
<td>E. saligna</td>
<td>AF385786.2</td>
<td>KF673271.2</td>
<td>KM849705.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. cysmosa</em></td>
<td>AF385790.2</td>
<td>E. tinifolia</td>
<td>AF385793.2</td>
<td>HQ286270.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. grahamii</em></td>
<td>KF673275.1</td>
<td>E. thyrsiflora</td>
<td>EU600007.1</td>
<td>EU599655.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. laevis</em></td>
<td>AY331401.1</td>
<td>E. wallichiana</td>
<td>AY331401.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. latifolia</em></td>
<td>AF385792.2</td>
<td>E.哇</td>
<td>AF385776.2</td>
<td>DQ197229.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. longiflora</em></td>
<td>EU600010.1</td>
<td>KJ687555.1</td>
<td>B. succulenta</td>
<td>AF385776.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E.  petiolaris</em></td>
<td>AF373275.1</td>
<td>B. petiolaris</td>
<td>KF673275.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
three samples are identical. Therefore, sequences from only one sample were used for the phylogenetic calculation. DNA sequences of all three DNA regions (ITS, trnL-trnF, and matK) were deposited on GenBank with ID number KY320205, KY320206, and KY320207, respectively.

The ITS1, trnL-trnF, and matK datasets were sorted by BioEdit software and then, re-aligned manually to be more precise. The length of these alignment datasets and the number of DNA sequences in each dataset vary depending on the DNA regions. Despite being the shortest alignment (285 bp), ITS1 database is the largest collection (20 sequences). In contrast, alignments of trnL-trnF region (463 bp in length) and matK region (678 bp in length) have 12 and 11 sequences, respectively. Indeed, many Ehretia species do not comprise all three DNA sequences. Additionally, it was observed from these alignments that nuclear marker ITS1 contains more variable sites (132) compared to the two plastid markers (trnL-trnF of 33 and matK of 34) (Fig. 2).

The best likelihood trees with bootstrap support values of all three DNA markers were indicated in Fig. 3. Based on the ITS1 region (Fig. 3A), the phylogenetic relationship of Ehretia genus is in agreement with the previous study [5], in which this taxon was divided into 4 main clades: Ehretia I (100 PBS, 100DPS), Ehretia II (even PBS was low 54, DBS was high 93), Ehretia III (96 PBS, 100 DBS), and Ehretia IV (BS under 50, but 100 PBS, 100 DPS). The phylogenetic trees derived from the two plastid markers differ to some degree. The species belonging to the same clade at ITS1 tree were still grouped, though with relatively low branch support. Similarly, some species were changed their phylogeny postitions. For instance, E. anacua, which was initially subjected to Ehretia II clade at ITS1 tree, was separated away from other Ehretia II species at matK tree (Fig. 3C). Analysis of matK data also suggested the systematic relationship of three species in which ITS1 sequences were not available. E. amoena and E. grahamii were aligned with Ehretia I species with high bootstrap value of 92 PBS and 94 DPS. Meanwhile, E. thrysiflora relates to E. acuminate with low supported bootstrap in matK tree, but with high supported bootstrap in trnL-trnF tree (Fig. 3B). In the phylogenetic tree constructed from ITS1 data, which have a significant amount of data so far, E. resiona is a close relative of E. asperula even with low bootstrap support (54PBS, 69DBS).

Discussions

The molecular phylogenetics has been resolving the evolutionary relationship between related species over the few past decades [9]. Many regions in plant nuclear and plastid genome have been assessed and evaluated, such as ITS, trnL-trnF, matK, and rbcL [10]. ITS from the nuclear ribosomal DNA is obviously the only nuclear region and a proper choice for phylogeny analysis. It
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has greater species discrimination than other plastid regions at lower taxonomic levels and has efficiency even at intraspecies levels [10]. In fact, scientists sometimes use a portion of ITS, ITS1, or ITS2 to calculate the distance between species [11]. On the other hand, plastid genome contributes many candidates for phylogeny calculation. They have been used by means of separated sequence or in combination with others [10]. However, it is difficult to pick a combination that meets all requirements: good discriminatory power, good sequence quality, and universality. With regard to the Ehretiaceae family, both primary and secondary structures of ITS1 region were adopted successfully [4, 12, 13]. Similarly, trnL-trnf intergenic sequence can discriminate species when being combined with other plastid or nuclear DNA markers [14, 15]. On the other hand, matK has never been seen in any phylogeny study of the Boraginales order.

In our study, we used ITS1 to figure out the systematic position of *E. asperula* since ITS1 was analyzed in most researches about Ehretiaceae in general and *Ehretia* species in specific. Our analysis of ITS1 divided the *Ehretia* genus into 4 clades, which were numbered according to a previous report [4]. However, the position of a clade in relation to others differs from the previous studies due to the difference in the kind of data input. Yet, this result was acceptable for our purpose and discussion. Besides ITS1, two other plastid DNA markers were applied to investigate their efficiency on species discrimination. As expected, ITS1 could distinguish efficiently all the 20 species (Fig. 3A). In contrast, as it can be seen in Fig. 1C, matK could not differentiate between *E. acuminata* and *E. thyrsiflora*. A similar situation was observed at trnL-trnf maximum likelihood tree (Fig. 3B). Perhaps, trnL-trnf and matK are effective when dealing with higher taxon level or in combination with other plastid and/or nuclear markers. Inferred from the ITS1 maximum likelihood tree (Fig. 3A), *E. asperula* and *E. resinosa*

Fig. 3. Maximum likelihood trees of *Ehretia* based on ITS1 (A), trnL-trnf (B), and *matK* (C) sequences (-ln = 1733.905, 819.1461 and 1163.316 respectively). Branch lengths are to scale. Major clades at ITS1 tree are indicated. Number on the branches are bootstrap support values, where values under 50% are not shown (above: parsimony, below: distance).
are sister species even though the molecular data provides low bootstrap support (54PBS, 69DBS).

Based on three phylogenetic trees, *E. asperula* was grouped with *Ehretia* I species, which also have been used for medical purposes for a long time. For instances, *E. saligna*’s decoction of wood is drunk for aches and pain [16]. Moreover, the paste of the *E. laevis* leaves has remarkably wound healing properties [17]. Furthermore, *E. cymosa* leaves were used to treat toothaches [18] and stomach ulcers [19]. In order to treat many ailments, dried leaves and wood of *E. asperula* were normally added in hot water, and sometimes with other Vietnamese herbs [2].

Many cancer patients in Vietnam cannot afford to get some expensive treatments, such as surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy. Furthermore, these treatments are usually coupled with unwanted side effects [20]. Traditional medicine, which uses many kinds of Vietnamese herbs, offers an alternative potential cost-effective and harmless treatment. It is likely to lead to a high demand for traditional medical herb, in general, and *E. asperula*, in specific, than ever before. Even though scientists utilized tissue culture for the massive production of *E. asperula* [21], most of this herb in medical plant market was exploited from its limited natural habitat. This paradoxical situation brings many concerns as *E. asperula* market is expanding. The concerns are outright substitution, contamination, and adulteration with some non-effective, less effective, or even some allergic, lethal herbs, and mislabeling fillers [22]. Initially, the conventional procedures to identify a plant are morphological and anatomical methods, which are not always successful for some reasons. Fortunately, advance in molecular technology has offered researchers a simple, cost-effective, and rapid approach to species identification based on DNA sequences, and DNA barcoding [22]. According to this study, we suggest that the ITS1 region is a suitable DNA barcode for the classification of *Ehretia* genus and the identification of *E. asperula*.

**Conclusions**

In short, we sequenced three DNA markers (ITS, trnL-trnF, and matK) of *E. asperula* and deposited these sequences on the Genbank with ID number KY320205, KY320206, and KY320207, respectively. *E. asperula*’s systematic position was evaluated by three phylogenetic trees. It is concluded that *E. asperula* belongs to *Ehretia* I clade and has a close relation with *E. restinosa*. Additionally, we presented ITS1 as a potential DNA barcode for identification, which could be further assessed to monitor the non-authentic medical herb market.
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